

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,817	10/05/2000	Mary M. Ponthan	19420/101/101	2416	
5909	7590 08/18/	005	EXAMINER		
	KI, ROONEY & S	GERRITY, STEE	GERRITY, STEPHEN FRANCIS		
	BROADWAY PLA DWAY STREET N	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	LIS, MN 5541330	3721			

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Me			
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/680,8	317	PONTHAN ET AL.				
		Examine	ır	Art Unit				
		Stephen	F. Gerrity	3721				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	•			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Fails Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the provi	N. 1.136(a). In no extends within the stated will apply and vitute, cause the apply and witute, cause the apply apply and witute, cause the apply apply and witute, cause the apply appl	vent, however, may a re atutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MON plication to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	June 2005.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)[Claim(s) 23-26 and 28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23-26 and 28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers		•					
,	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2000</u> is/a		cepted or b)⊠ oł	pjected to by the Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s)	be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	-						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have bee ents have bee riority docum	en received. en received in Ap ents have been	oplication No				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the cert	ified copies not i	received.				
	w > :							
Attachmen 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔯 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08)	Paper No(s	//Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/680,817

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9 June 2005 has been entered.

Drawings

2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because of the reasons set forth on the attached form (PTO-948). Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the written description fails to make use of the term "effigy".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 09/680,817

Art Unit: 3721

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 23-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The written description, and the original claims, have been carefully reviewed and by the examiner, and it is the opinion of the examiner that the claims present subject matter which fails to find support in the disclosure as originally presented. That is, the claims 23-26 and 28 present new matter which is not supported by the disclosure, as originally filed.

Claims 23-26 and 28 each recite "an effigy". There is no support for this subject matter in the written description, including the claims, as originally filed.

Claim 28 also recites at lines 7-17, "portraying at a location on the surface, proximate a first edge thereof, a first feature of an effigy head, and portraying on the surface a second feature of an effigy head, said first feature unrelated to said second feature when the item is in said first configuration, said features giving no suggestion of a complete effigy head when said item is in said first configuration". There is no support for this subject matter in the written description, including the claims, as originally filed.

Claim 28 also recites at lines 23-28, "when it is in the second configuration, it gives context to a complete effigy by simulating a body of the effigy with the features positioned appropriately with respect to the simulated body formed by the item to

Application/Control Number: 09/680,817

Art Unit: 3721

suggest the effigy's head". There is no support for this subject matter in the written description, including the claims, as originally filed.

A review of the originally filed disclosure does not provide support for the claimed subject matter presently found in claims 23-26 and 28. Accordingly, applicant is required to either amend the claims to cancel the new matter or present clarification as to how/where the subject matter of the present claims is found in the disclosure, as originally filed. Appropriate correction is required.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 23, lines 6 and 7, the recitation "said feature" renders the claim vague and indefinite because claim 28 recites a first feature and a second feature, and it is unclear from the language of claim 23 as to which feature applicant is referring.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-26 and 28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Gerrity whose telephone number is (571) 272-4460. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for 57l - 273 - 8300 the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen F. Gerrity Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

15 August 2005